Volume ∞ , Issue π , 2112

A fetching and descriptive title for your manuscript

By Euclid of Alexandria^{*}, Leonard Euler[†], and Johann Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet[‡]

Abstract. Insert your abstract here. The abstract should be a short paragraph that details what the current paper sets out to do, and a high-level description of how it goes about what it does. Also, you should avoid putting citations, like this one [3], in the abstract.

1 Introduction

Ideally, the introduction should introduce the background of the problem at hand and motivate why mathematicians (and/or others) are interested in studying it. This should be aimed at the level of undergraduates who are not experts in your area. We'd also like the introduction to contain a road map for the article; i.e., a description of what to expect in each section.

2 The title of the second section

The class file contains definitions for several environments (e.g., thm, corollary, lemma, etc). Examples follow below.

Important definitions should be set in the defin environment.

Definition 2.1. Let $p \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose the following hold:

P1. If $d \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $d \mid p$, then d = 1 or d = p.

P2. $p \neq 1$.

Then we say that *p* is a *prime number*.

^{*}Thanks to all those hapless Pythagoreans.

[†]Thanks for nothing, Bernoulli.

[‡]You should really be thanking me. *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11A41 *Keywords*. primes

Short title

Use the label command for reference to these environments later on in your document. The class file uses the cleveref package which determines the type of label being referenced:

	ЫТ _Е Х code		Result
Using ref:	definition \	\ref{prim-def}}	definition 2.1
Using cref:	\cref{prim-def}		definition 2.1

Lemma 2.2. If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then there exists a prime number p such that $p \mid n$.

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > 1 and n is not divisible by any prime. Let

 $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 1, n \text{ is not divisible by a prime}\}.$

Then S is a non-empty subset of **N**. The well-ordering principle¹ fashions a least element of S, say *m*. Note that *m* is not prime (otherwise it would be divisible by a prime, namely itself). Since *m* is not prime, there exists $a \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $a \mid m$ and $a \neq 1$ and $a \neq m$. Let $b \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that ab = m. Note that $b \in \mathbf{N}$ since $a, m \in \mathbf{N}$. What's more, b > 1 since otherwise a = m. So it must be that 1 < a, b < m. Since divisibility is transitive, it follows that neither *a* nor *b* is divisible by a prime. But then $a \in S$ (and so is *b*) and a = m/b < m contradicting the minimality of *m*. Thus it must be that S is empty which proves the lemma.

Theorem 2.3 (Euclid). There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof. Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k be primes, and let

$$n=p_1p_2\cdots p_k+1.$$

By **lemma 2.2**, there exists a prime p such that p | n. Suppose, for contradiction, that $p = p_i$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., k. It follows that $p | n - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = 1$, but this is absurd. So it must be that $p \neq p_i$ for any i = 1, 2, ..., k. This shows that a new prime can be constructed from any finite list of primes. Hence the number of primes is not finite.

Use the cite command to cite references. For example, the above proof can be found in Euclid's Elements [1]. See below for how to add citations to the thebibliography environment.

¹An excellent principle.

3 A title for the third section

There is an example environment.

Example 3.1. The geometric series

 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$

converges to 2.

When starting a sentence with a reference, use the Cref command. **Example 3.1** can be used to prove that 2 is not the only prime.

Theorem 3.2. *The set of primes includes more numbers than just 2.*

Proof. If 2 were the only prime number, then the fundamental theorem of arithmetic would give us that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

The series on the left diverges whereas the series on the right converges. This is impossible, so there must be more prime numbers beyond the number 2. \Box

There is a remark environment as well.

Remark 3.3. The idea in **theorem 3.2** can be extended to show that the set of primes includes more numbers than just 2 and 3. In fact, Euler went on to prove that if the number of primes were finite, then the harmonic series would converge.

4 A title for the fourth section

There are prop and corollary environments as well.

Proposition 4.1. *Every natural number can be written uniquely as a product of a squarefree number and a square.*

As a corollary to **proposition 4.1** we obtain

Corollary 4.2. Let $\pi(n)$ denote the number of primes less than or equal to n. Then

$$\pi(n) \ge \frac{\log n}{2\log 2}.$$

Proof. There are no more than $2^{\pi(n)}$ square free numbers less than *n*. Also, there are no more than \sqrt{n} squares less than *n*. It follows from **proposition 4.1** that

$$n \le 2^{\pi(n)} \sqrt{n}.$$

The corollary follows by applying log.

Rose-Hulman Undergrad. Math. J.

Volume ∞ , Issue π , 2112

For an unnumbered remark, use the xrem environment.

Remark. Note that **corollary 4.2** implies that there are infinitely many primes.

References

- [1] Euclid, *Euclid's Elements*, the Thomas L. Heath translation, Green Lion Press, Santa Fe, NM, 2002. MR1932864
- [2] Euler, *Foundations of differential calculus*, translated from the Latin by John D. Blanton, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR1753095
- [3] P. G. L. Dirichlet, Gedächtnißrede auf Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi, in Nachrufe auf Berliner Mathematiker des 19. Jahrhunderts, 6–34, Teubner-Arch. Math., 10, Teubner, Leipzig. MR1104895

Euclid of Alexandria

Ancient Greece u-kid@ancient-greece.biz

Leonard Euler

University of Basel oiler@notmail.com

Johann Peter Gustav Lejeune Dirichlet

University of Bonn dirichleet@yahoo.net